IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 14202 of 2006

DATED: 13.7.2006

Between:

S.K.Nabi Saheb petitioner

And

The Managign Director,
AP Beverages Corporation Ltd,
6th floor, Samrat Complex, Saifabad,
Hyderabad Respondent

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V.RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO. 14202 of 2006

ORAL ORDER:

Questioning the action of the respondent in not considering the case of petitioner for regular absorption in the corporation, the present writ petition is filed.

The petitioner claims that he is a Graduate and joined the respondent corporation as Security guard on 5.2.1988 and worked as such till December, 1990. Questioning non-consideration of his case for regularization, he along with others filed W.P. No. 4182 of 1990. The said writ petition was disposed of by order dated 29.8.2000 directing the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioner to utilize their services in the trade and distribution of IML and beer units situated in all over the State. Alleging violation of the said orders, the petitioner filed a contempt case in C.C. No. 35 of 2003 and the same was closed by order dated 31.01.2003. While so, the Government issued G.O.Ms.No. 418 dated 28.11.2005 sanctioning 69 new posts. The petitioner made a representation dated 10.12.2005 to the Managing Director of the corporation to absorb him in the said sanctioned posts and alleges that no action was taken thereon. Hence, the present writ petition.

The learned Standing Counsel for respondent corporation submits that the case of the petitioner was considered in terms of the orders of this Court in W.P. No. 4182 of 1990 and rejected by

proceedings dated 17.2.2001 and now petitioner cannot claim absorption in the posts sanctioned vide G.O.Ms.No. 418 dated 28.11.2005. It is also stated that there is surplus staff in the corporation.

In pursuance to the directions of this Court in W.P. No. 4182 of 1990, the case of the petitioner was considered and rejected by proceedings No.4182/90/1622 dated 17.02.2001. Now the petitioner claims absorption in the respondent corporation on the ground that new posts were sanctioned vide G.O.Ms.No. 418 dated 28.11.2005 and alleges that he has made a representation and no action was taken thereon.

In these circumstances, the only direction that can be given to the respondent is to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner dated 10.12.2005 in accordance with law, expeditiously.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the respondent-Managing Director to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 10.12.2005 and dispose of the same expeditiously in accordance with law. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

DATE: 13.7.2006

TVK

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 14202 of 2006

DATED: 13.7.2006